IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 24 OF 2006

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 166 OF 2002

STATE OF GOA THROUGH L.A.O. PANAJI AND ANR., ...Applicants

Versus

SHRI SURESH V.KAMAT WAGH ....Respondent

Ms. Gauri D. S. Bhonsule, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. M. Conception, Advocate for the respondent.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 20th December, 2006

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner-State. Advocate for the respondent also appears and states that she has no objection to allow the review application in view of the obvious position inadvertently overlooked at the time of passing of the order dated 11/8/2006.

In view of the facts and circusmtances, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b) and stands disposed of with no order as to costs. The main order shall be, accordingly, reviewed to that extent covered by clause (b) of the prayer clause of the application.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

ssm.